

## REMARKS

### Final Office Action Summary

Claim 28-31, 33-35, and 37-39 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over *Bort* (US 7,305,631, hereinafter “*Bort*”) in view of *Beaton*.

### Status of Claims:

Claims 28-31, 33-35, 37 and 38 are pending. Claims 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38 have been amended. Amendments to the claims are supported by the originally filed specification (e.g. Paragraph [0017]). No new matter has been added.

### Rejection under 35 USC §103(a) – claims 28-31 and 37-39

Claims 28-31, 33-35 and 37-39 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over *Zadesky*, et al. (7,499,040, hereinafter “*Zadesky*”) in view of *Beaton* (US 6,037,937, hereinafter “*Beaton*”).

*Zadesky* describes a touchpad 72 configured to move relative to a frame 76. See FIG. 6. Pressure is only applied and detected on touch sensitive surface 82 of touchpad 72. See FIGS. 7A, 7B. As such no pressure is applied or detected on frame 76.

*Beaton* describes a touchscreen where a touchscreen displaying a graphical navigational tool (directional arrows). Pressure is applied on the virtual buttons/directional arrows on the touchscreen. See FIG. 8. As such no pressure is applied or detected on a frame of the touchscreen.

Applicant therefore respectfully submits that the combined teachings of *Zadesky* and *Beaton* do not describe “a first movement sensor coupled to a bottom face of a lower section of a computer system”, “sensing an angular position of the computer system with a second movement sensor coupled to the bottom face of the lower section of the computer system”, and “sensing external pressure on a frame of the display of the upper section, the external pressure to move a plane of the upper section.”

Thus, Applicant submits that claims 28-31, 33-35 and 37-39 recite novel subject matter which distinguishes over any possible combination of *Zadesky* and *Bort*.

**Request for allowance**

It is believed that this Amendment places the above-identified patent application into condition for allowance. Early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.

**Authorization for Extension of Time, All Replies**

Authorization is given to treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR §1.136(a) for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time. If any other petition is necessary for consideration of this paper, it is hereby so petitioned. Please charge any shortage in fees in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 02-2666 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: June 2, 2010 By /Thierry K. Lo/

Thierry K. Lo  
Reg. No. 49,097

1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040  
(714) 557-3800